5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

17

Case:2:10-cr-20576 Judge: Cook, Julian Abele MJ: Randon, Mark A. Filed: 09-30-2010 At 08:32 AM INFO USA V. PANASONIC CORPORATION (DA)

Violation: 15 U.S.C. § 1

PANASONIC CORPORATION,

Defendant

INFORMATION

CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE

(15 U.S.C. § 1)

The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:

1. Panasonic Corporation ("Panasonic") is hereby made a defendant on the charge stated below.

Ι

DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE

2. Beginning at least as early as October 14, 2004, and continuing until on or about December 31, 2007, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, the defendant and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing prices to customers of household compressors in the United States and elsewhere. For purposes of this Information with defendant, "household compressors" means solely refrigerant compressors for household use in refrigerators and freezers. The charged conspiracy

unreasonably restrained interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

3. The charged conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to fix prices to customers of household compressors in the United States and elsewhere.

 \mathbf{II}

MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 4. For the purposes of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did the following things, among others:
 - (a) participated in meetings and conversations to discuss household compressor customers in the United States and elsewhere;
 - (b) agreed, during those meetings and conversations, to coordinate prices of household compressors in the United States and elsewhere;
 - (c) exchanged information during those meetings and conversations, for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreements to coordinate prices of household compressors in the United States and elsewhere; and
 - (d) coordinated prices for household compressor customers.

III

DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS

5. Panasonic is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan and does business in multiple countries with its principal place of business in Kadoma, Osaka, Japan.

- 6. Various individuals and corporations, not made defendants in this Information, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it.
- 7. Whenever this Information refers to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, it means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transactions of its business or affairs.

IV

TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 8. During the period covered by this Information, Panasonic and co-conspirators produced household compressors and sold and shipped household compressors in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign commerce to customers located in the United States and elsewhere.
- 9. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of Panasonic and its co-conspirators in connection with household compressors which are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

\mathbf{v}

VENUE

10. The conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, within the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, within the five years proceeding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

s/CHRISTINE A. VARNEY CHRISTINE A. VARNEY Assistant Attorney General

s/SCOTT D. HAMMOND SCOTT D. HAMMOND Deputy Assistant Attorney General

s/MARC SIEGEL
MARC SIEGEL
Director of Criminal Enforcement

Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice

s/SCOTT M. WATSON
SCOTT M. WATSON
Chief, Cleveland Field Office

s/MICHAEL F. WOOD MICHAEL F. WOOD DC-376312

IAN D. HOFFMAN IA-14831

ERIC M. MEIRING OH-0083589

Trial Attorneys
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Carl B. Stokes U.S. Court House
801 W. Superior Avenue, 14th Fl.
Cleveland, OH 44113-1857
Telephone: (216) 687-8410
Fax: (216) 687-8423

E-mail: michael.wood@usdoj.gov

Dated: September 30, 2010

			20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cov	Judge: Cook, Julian Abele	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Reassignment/Recusal Infor		Filed: 09-30-2010 A INFO USA V. PAN DA)	ASONIC CORPORATION (
Companion Case Informatio			mber: (()-CR-20577
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :		udge Assigned:	Duggan
XYes □ N	lo A	NUSA's Initials:	
Case Title: USA v. Panason	ic Corporation	.so compando As	SIENED TO JUDGE CO
County where offense occu	rred: Lenawee and C	thers	
Indictment/Info	ormation no prior compormation based upon pormation based upon Lo	rior complaint [Case	□ Petty number:] te Superseding section below].
☐ Corrects errors; no addit☐ Involves, for plea purpos☐ Embraces same subject☐ Defendant name☐	ated; no additional charge ional charges or defendan es, different charges or ac matter but adds the addition Charç	ts. lds counts. onal defendants or d <u>res</u> <u>Pric</u>	or Complaint (if applicable)
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.		States Attorney	is the attorney of record for
September 30, 2010 Date	Michael F.	Wood WOOD y, Antitrust Division	

U.S. Department of Justice

801 W. Superior Avenue, 14th Floor

Cleveland, OH 44113-1857

Phone: (216) 687-8410

E-mail: michael.wood@usdoj.gov

Bar #: DC-376312

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated 10/13/09